

Constructive Canonicity of Inductive Inequalities

Willem Conradie

Joint work with Alessandra Palmigiano and Zhiguang Zhao

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg

LATD - 29 June 2016

Definition

Let \mathbb{A} be a (bounded) sublattice of a complete lattice \mathbb{A}' .

- 1 \mathbb{A} is **dense** in \mathbb{A}' if every element of \mathbb{A}' can be expressed both as a join of meets and as a meet of joins of elements from \mathbb{A} .
- 2 \mathbb{A} is **compact** in \mathbb{A}' if, for all $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{A}'$, if $\bigvee S \leq \bigwedge T$ then $\bigvee S' \leq \bigwedge T'$ for some finite $S' \subseteq S$ and $T' \subseteq T$.
- 3 The **canonical extension** of a lattice \mathbb{A} is a complete lattice \mathbb{A}^δ containing \mathbb{A} as a dense and compact sublattice.

Closed elements $K(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$: Meet closure of \mathbb{A} in \mathbb{A}^δ .

Open elements $O(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$: Join closure of \mathbb{A} in \mathbb{A}^δ .

Theorem (Propositions 2.6 and 2.7 in [1])

The canonical extension of a bounded lattice \mathbb{A} exists and is unique up to any isomorphism fixing \mathbb{A} .

In the presence of AC, \mathbb{A}^δ is:

- completely join-generated by $J^\infty(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$
- completely meet-generated by $M^\infty(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$

Extension of unary maps

Definition

For every unary, order-preserving operation $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, the σ -extension of f is defined firstly by declaring, for every $k \in K(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$,

$$f^\sigma(k) := \bigwedge \{f(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } k \leq a\},$$

and then, for every $u \in \mathbb{A}^\delta$,

$$f^\sigma(u) := \bigvee \{f^\sigma(k) \mid k \in K(\mathbb{A}^\delta) \text{ and } k \leq u\}.$$

Extension of unary maps

Definition

For every unary, order-preserving operation $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, the σ -extension of f is defined firstly by declaring, for every $k \in K(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$,

$$f^\sigma(k) := \bigwedge \{f(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } k \leq a\},$$

and then, for every $u \in \mathbb{A}^\delta$,

$$f^\sigma(u) := \bigvee \{f^\sigma(k) \mid k \in K(\mathbb{A}^\delta) \text{ and } k \leq u\}.$$

The π -extension of f is defined firstly by declaring, for every $o \in O(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$,

$$f^\pi(o) := \bigvee \{f(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } a \leq o\},$$

and then, for every $u \in \mathbb{A}^\delta$,

$$f^\pi(u) := \bigwedge \{f^\pi(o) \mid o \in O(\mathbb{A}^\delta) \text{ and } u \leq o\}.$$

Extension of n -ary maps

- $f : \mathbb{A}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ preserves (finite, possibly empty) \vee s coordinate-wise.
- $g : \mathbb{A}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ preserves (finite, possibly empty) \wedge s coordinate-wise.

Definition

- $f^\sigma(\bar{k}) := \bigwedge \{f(\bar{a}) \mid \bar{a} \in (\mathbb{A}^\delta)^{\varepsilon_f} \text{ and } \bar{k} \leq^{\varepsilon_f} \bar{a}\}$
- $f^\sigma(\bar{u}) := \bigvee \{f^\sigma(\bar{k}) \mid \bar{k} \in K((\mathbb{A}^\delta)^{\varepsilon_f}) \text{ and } \bar{k} \leq^{\varepsilon_f} \bar{u}\}$
- $g^\pi(\bar{o}) := \bigvee \{g(\bar{a}) \mid \bar{a} \in (\mathbb{A}^\delta)^{\varepsilon_g} \text{ and } \bar{a} \leq^{\varepsilon_g} \bar{o}\}$
- $g^\pi(\bar{v}) := \bigwedge \{g^\pi(\bar{o}) \mid \bar{o} \in O((\mathbb{A}^\delta)^{\varepsilon_g}) \text{ and } \bar{v} \leq^{\varepsilon_g} \bar{o}\}.$

Definition

The canonical extension of an \mathcal{L}_{LE} -algebra $\mathbb{A} = (L, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{A}}, \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{A}})$ is the \mathcal{L}_{LE} -algebra $\mathbb{A}^{\delta} := (L^{\delta}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{A}^{\delta}}, \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{A}^{\delta}})$ such that $f^{\mathbb{A}^{\delta}}$ and $g^{\mathbb{A}^{\delta}}$ are defined as the σ -extension of $f^{\mathbb{A}}$ and as the π -extension of $g^{\mathbb{A}}$ respectively, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

- \mathbb{A}^{δ} is **quasi-perfect**.
- In the presence of AC, \mathbb{A}^{δ} is **perfect**.

Canonical equations and inequalities

Definition

Equation $\varphi = \psi$ is canonical if

$$\mathbb{A} \models \varphi = \psi \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathbb{A}^\delta \models \varphi = \psi$$

Theorem (optional)

All Sahlqvist formulas are canonical.

Approach 1: Canonicity via Correspondence

Sambin and Vacarro (1989) [2], prove that all Sahlqvist inequalities are canonical.

Suppose $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ has F.O.-frame $\alpha(x)$. Then

$$(\mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{A}) \Vdash \varphi \leq \psi \quad (1)$$

$$\text{iff } (\mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{A}) \models \alpha(x) \quad (2)$$

$$\text{iff } (\mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{A}^\delta) \models \alpha(x) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{iff } (\mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{A}^\delta) \Vdash \varphi \leq \psi \quad (4)$$

Same approach followed, but in purely modal environment, by SQEMA (C., Goranko & Vakarelov, [3]) and ALBA (C., Palmigiano, [4]).

Approach 2: Algebraic

Ghilardi and Meloni (1997) [5]:

- Constructive; correspondence not defined in general.

Ghilardi and Meloni (1997) [5]:

- Constructive; correspondence not defined in general.
- Canonical extension of certain bi-intuitionistic modal algebras i.t.o. general filters and ideals.

Ghilardi and Meloni (1997) [5]:

- Constructive; correspondence not defined in general.
- Canonical extension of certain bi-intuitionistic modal algebras i.t.o. general filters and ideals.
- Identify class of canonical inequalities i.t.o. order-theoretic conditions.

Ghilardi and Meloni (1997) [5]:

- Constructive; correspondence not defined in general.
- Canonical extension of certain bi-intuitionistic modal algebras i.t.o. general filters and ideals.
- Identify class of canonical inequalities i.t.o. order-theoretic conditions.
- Validity lifted from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{A}^δ in 2 steps
 - 1 From elements of \mathbb{A} to $K(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$ and $O(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$,
 - 2 then from $K(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$ and $O(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$ to arbitrary elements of \mathbb{A}^δ .

Ghilardi and Meloni (1997) [5]:

- Constructive; correspondence not defined in general.
- Canonical extension of certain bi-intuitionistic modal algebras i.t.o. general filters and ideals.
- Identify class of canonical inequalities i.t.o. order-theoretic conditions.
- Validity lifted from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{A}^δ in 2 steps
 - 1 From elements of \mathbb{A} to $K(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$ and $O(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$,
 - 2 then from $K(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$ and $O(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$ to arbitrary elements of \mathbb{A}^δ .

Strategy similar to Jónsson 1994 [6], but independent.

Canonicity via correspondence: the ALBA way

ALBA (C and Palmigiano 2012 [4]) is a calculus of rewrite rules, that instantiates the following argument:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A} \models \varphi \leq \psi & & \mathbb{A}^\delta \models \varphi \leq \psi \\ \Downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{A}^\delta \models_{\mathbb{A}} \varphi \leq \psi & & \Downarrow \\ \Downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{A}^\delta \models_{\mathbb{A}} \text{ALBA}(\varphi \leq \psi) & \Leftrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^\delta \models \text{ALBA}(\varphi \leq \psi) \end{array}$$

Canonicity via correspondence: the ALBA way

ALBA (C and Palmigiano 2012 [4]) is a calculus of rewrite rules, that instantiates the following argument:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A} \models \varphi \leq \psi & & \mathbb{A}^\delta \models \varphi \leq \psi \\ \Downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{A}^\delta \models_{\mathbb{A}} \varphi \leq \psi & & \Downarrow \\ \Downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{A}^\delta \models_{\mathbb{A}} \text{ALBA}(\varphi \leq \psi) & \Leftrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^\delta \models \text{ALBA}(\varphi \leq \psi) \end{array}$$

e.g.

Example

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A} \models \diamond \Box p \leq \Box \diamond p & & \mathbb{A}^\delta \models \diamond \Box p \leq \Box \diamond p \\ \Downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{A}^\delta \models_{\mathbb{A}} \diamond \Box p \leq \Box \diamond p & & \Downarrow \\ \Downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{A}^\delta \models_{\mathbb{A}} \diamond \mathbf{j} \leq \Box \diamond \blacklozenge \mathbf{j} & \Leftrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^\delta \models \diamond \mathbf{j} \leq \Box \diamond \blacklozenge \mathbf{j} \end{array}$$

Soundness of rules: problems in the constructive setting

e.g. \diamond -approximation rule:

$$\frac{C, \mathbf{i} \leq \diamond \alpha \Rightarrow D}{C, \mathbf{j} \leq \alpha, \mathbf{i} \leq \diamond \mathbf{j} \Rightarrow D}$$

Soundness of rules: problems in the constructive setting

e.g. \diamond -approximation rule:

$$\frac{C, \mathbf{i} \leq \diamond \alpha \Rightarrow D}{C, \mathbf{j} \leq \alpha, \mathbf{i} \leq \diamond \mathbf{j} \Rightarrow D}$$

Soundness depends on

- complete \vee -preservation of $\diamond^{\mathbb{A}^\delta}$
- complete \vee -generation of \mathbb{A}^δ by $J^\infty(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$
- all $J^\infty(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$ being completely join-prime

Soundness of rules: problems in the constructive setting

e.g. \diamond -approximation rule:

$$\frac{C, \mathbf{i} \leq \diamond \alpha \Rightarrow D}{C, \mathbf{j} \leq \alpha, \mathbf{i} \leq \diamond \mathbf{j} \Rightarrow D}$$

Soundness depends on

- complete \vee -preservation of $\diamond^{\mathbb{A}^\delta}$
- complete \vee -generation of \mathbb{A}^δ by $J^\infty(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$
- all $J^\infty(\mathbb{A}^\delta)$ being completely join-prime

In the constructive and non-distributive setting, this can be replaced with:

$$\frac{S \Rightarrow \varphi'(\gamma/!x) \leq \psi}{S \cup \{\mathbf{j} \leq \gamma\} \Rightarrow \varphi'(\mathbf{j}/!x) \leq \psi}$$

with

- $+x < +\varphi'(!x)$
- branch of $+\varphi'(!x)$ starting at $+x$ being **Syntactically Additive Coordinatewis (SAC)**
- etc.

Constructive ALBA (1)

Applies to logics with algebraic semantics based on arbitrary normal/regular lattice expansions.

Constructive ALBA (1)

Applies to logics with algebraic semantics based on arbitrary normal/regular lattice expansions.

Approximation rules.

e.g. **Left-negative approximation rule.**

$$\frac{(S, \varphi'(\gamma/!x) \leq \psi)}{(S \cup \{\gamma \leq \mathbf{m}\}, \varphi'(\mathbf{m}/!x) \leq \psi)} (L^-A)$$

with

- $-x < +\varphi'(!x)$
- the branch of $+\varphi'(!x)$ starting at $-x$ being SAC
- etc.

Constructive ALBA (2)

Residuation rules.

For normal connectives:

$$\frac{f(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_i, \dots, \varphi_{n_f}) \leq \psi}{\varphi_i \leq f_i^\#(\varphi_1, \dots, \psi, \dots, \varphi_{n_f})} \varepsilon_f(i) = 1 \qquad \frac{f(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_i, \dots, \varphi_{n_f}) \leq \psi}{f_i^\#(\varphi_1, \dots, \psi, \dots, \varphi_{n_f}) \leq \varphi_i} \varepsilon_f(i) = \partial$$

Constructive ALBA (2)

Residuation rules.

For normal connectives:

$$\frac{f(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_i, \dots, \varphi_{n_f}) \leq \psi}{\varphi_i \leq f_i^\#(\varphi_1, \dots, \psi, \dots, \varphi_{n_f})} \varepsilon_f(i) = 1 \qquad \frac{f(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_i, \dots, \varphi_{n_f}) \leq \psi}{f_i^\#(\varphi_1, \dots, \psi, \dots, \varphi_{n_f}) \leq \varphi_i} \varepsilon_f(i) = \partial$$

For regular connectives:

$$\frac{f(\varphi) \leq \psi}{f(\perp) \leq \psi \quad \varphi \leq \blacksquare_f \psi} \text{ (if } \varepsilon_f = 1) \qquad \frac{\varphi \leq g(\psi)}{\varphi \leq g(\top) \quad \blacklozenge_g \varphi \leq \psi} \text{ (if } \varepsilon_g = 1)$$

Constructive ALBA (2)

Residuation rules.

For normal connectives:

$$\frac{f(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_i, \dots, \varphi_{n_f}) \leq \psi}{\varphi_i \leq f_i^\#(\varphi_1, \dots, \psi, \dots, \varphi_{n_f})} \varepsilon_f(i) = 1 \quad \frac{f(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_i, \dots, \varphi_{n_f}) \leq \psi}{f_i^\#(\varphi_1, \dots, \psi, \dots, \varphi_{n_f}) \leq \varphi_i} \varepsilon_f(i) = \partial$$

For regular connectives:

$$\frac{f(\varphi) \leq \psi}{f(\perp) \leq \psi \quad \varphi \leq \blacksquare_f \psi} \text{ (if } \varepsilon_f = 1) \quad \frac{\varphi \leq g(\psi)}{\varphi \leq g(\top) \quad \blacklozenge_g \varphi \leq \psi} \text{ (if } \varepsilon_g = 1)$$

Ackermann rules

$$\frac{(\{\alpha_i \leq p\} \cup \{\beta_j(p) \leq \gamma_j(p)\}, \text{ Ineq})}{(\{\beta_j(\vee \alpha_i) \leq \gamma_j(\vee)\}, \text{ Ineq})} \text{ (RAR)}$$

where:

- p does not occur in $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ or in Ineq,
- $\beta_1(p), \dots, \beta_m(p)$ are positive in p , and
- $\gamma_1(p), \dots, \gamma_m(p)$ are negative in p .

Constructive Canonicity of Inductive and Sahlqvist Formulas

Inductive inequalities.

- Goranko + Vakarelov 2006 [7].
- Essentially subsumes Sahlqvist Formulas.
- General purpose definitions for logics with algebraic semantics based on normal/regular lattice expansion (C. and Palmigiano [4] and [8])

Example

K-axiom: $(\Box(p \rightarrow q) \wedge \Box p) \rightarrow \Box q$

Frege axiom: $(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r))$

Theorem

All inductive inequalities are successfully reducible using rules of Constructive ALBA.

Corollary

All inductive inequalities are constructively canonical.



Mai Gehrke and John Harding.

Bounded lattice expansions.

Journal of Algebra, 238(1):345–371, 2001.



Giovanni Sambin and Virginia Vaccaro.

A new proof of Sahlqvist's theorem on modal definability and completeness.

Journal of Symbolic Logic, 54(3):992–999, 1989.



Willem Conradie, Valentin Goranko, and Dimiter Vakarelov.

Algorithmic correspondence and completeness in modal logic. I. The core algorithm SQEMA.

Logical Methods in Computer Science, 2006.



Willem Conradie and Alessandra Palmigiano.

Algorithmic correspondence and canonicity for distributive modal logic.

Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 163(3):338 – 376, 2012.

-  Silvio Ghilardi and Giancarlo Meloni.
Constructive canonicity in non-classical logics.
Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 86(1):1–32, 1997.
-  Bjarni Jónsson.
On the canonicity of Sahlqvist identities.
Studia Logica, 53:473–491, 1994.
-  Valentin Goranko and Dimitar Vakarelov.
Elementary canonical formulae: Extending Sahlqvist's theorem.
Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 141(1-2):180–217, 2006.
-  Willem Conradie and Alessandra Palmigiano.
Algorithmic correspondence and canonicity for non-distributive logics.
Journal of Logic and Computation, forthcoming.